

(45) a) Population of Interest: students in Tonya's dorm

p = proportion of the residents in Tonya's who like the dorm food

b) $\hat{p} = 14/50 = 28\% \Rightarrow p$

c) 1) SRS - yes, stated in problem

2) Independence - 175 students in dorm $\not\geq 10(50)$ Not met

3) Normality - $50(.28) \geq 10$ $50(.76) \geq 10$
 $14 \geq 10 \checkmark$ $38 \geq 10 \checkmark$

(46) a) Population of Interest: students at Glenn's college

p = proportion of the students at Glenn's college who think tuition is too high

b) $\hat{p} = 38/50 = 76\% \Rightarrow p$

c) 1) SRS - yes, stated in problem

2) Independence - $2400 \geq 10(50)$ Condition met

3) Normality - $50(.76) \geq 10$ $50(.24) \geq 10$ Condition met
 $38 \geq 10$ $12 \geq 10$

(47) Population of Interest - all heterosexual adults

a)

p = proportion of all adults heterosexuals who received a blood transfusion and had a sexual partner with a high risk of AIDS

b) $\hat{p} = 0.2\%$

c) 1) SRS - sample, but not SRS 2) Independence - all heterosexual adults $\geq 10(2673)$ Condition met
 3) Normality - $2673(.002) \not\geq 10$ Condition Not met
 5.346

p. 669

(48) $n = 430,000$ $\hat{p} = .87$ $z_{.95}^* = 1.96$ $SE = \sqrt{\frac{(.87)(.13)}{430000}}$

a) $me = \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{(.87)(.13)}{430000}}$
 $= \pm 1.96 (.0005) = \pm .001$

(49) a) Population: all college undergraduates

p = proportion of college undergraduates who are nondrinkers

b) 1) SRS - stated in problem

2) Independence - all college undergraduates ≥ 10 (10,904) Condition met

3) Normality - $2105 \geq 10$ $8799 \geq 10$ Condition met

c) Method of inference: 99% confidence interval for sample proportion

Parameter: p = proportion of college undergraduates who are nondrinkers

Conditions: (See part (b)) - All conditions met

Calculations: $\hat{p} = \frac{2105}{10904} = .193$ $\hat{q} = .817$ $z_{.99}^* = 2.576$

$$.193 \pm 2.576 \left(\sqrt{\frac{(.193)(.817)}{10904}} \right) = .193 \pm 2.576 (.0038)$$

$$= .193 \pm .0097$$

$$(.1883, .2028)$$

Conclusion: We are 99% confident the true proportion of all college students who are nondrinkers is in the interval 18.83% to 20.28% for a sample size $n = 10904$.

p. 669

50) Method of Inference: 95% confidence interval for 1 sample proportion

Parameter: p = true proportion of adults who were satisfied with the way things were going in the US

Conditions: 1) SRS - random sample, not SRS

2) Independence - all US adults ≥ 10 (1633) Condition met

3) Normality - $1127 \geq 10$ $506 \geq 10$ Condition met

Calculations: $\hat{p} = \frac{1127}{1633} = .69$ $\hat{q} = .31$ $n = 1633$ $z^*_{.95} = 1.96$

$$.69 \pm 1.96 \left(\sqrt{\frac{(.69)(.31)}{1633}} \right) = .69 \pm 1.96 (.0114) = .69 \pm .022$$

(.668, .713)

Conclusion: We are 95% confident the true proportion of US adults who were satisfied with the way things were going in the US is in the interval 66.8% to 71.3% for a sample size $n = 1633$.

54) $\hat{p} = .44$ $z^*_{.95} = 1.96$ a) $\pm .03 \geq 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{(.44)(.56)}{n}}$

$$\pm .01531 \geq \sqrt{\frac{.2464}{n}}$$

$$(.01531)^2 \geq \frac{.2464}{n}$$

$$(.01531)^2 n \geq .2464$$

$$n \geq \frac{.2464}{(.01531)^2}$$

$$n \geq 1051$$

$$54) b) \pm .03 \geq 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{(.5)(.5)}{n}}$$

$$.01531 \geq \sqrt{\frac{.25}{n}}$$

$$(.01531)^2 \geq \frac{.25}{n}$$

$$(.01531)^2 n \geq .25$$

$$n \geq \frac{.25}{(.01531)^2}$$

$$n \geq 1067.9$$

$$n = 1068$$

Conservative approach, $p^* = .5$, requires 16 more adults to achieve a margin of error of $\pm .03$.

$$55) \hat{p} = .64 \quad z^*_{.95} = 1.96 \quad n = 1028$$

$$a) .64 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{(.64)(.36)}{1028}} \quad (.61, .64)$$

We are 95% confident the true proportion of teens age 13 to 17 that have TVs in their room is in the interval 61% to 64% of a sample size $n = 1028$

b) Not all samples will give the same results. Margin of error accounts for the variability from sample to sample.

c) Voluntary bias Nonresponse bias

p. 673

56

$$\hat{p} = .7$$

$$.04 \geq 1.645 \sqrt{\frac{(0.7)(0.3)}{n}}$$

$$a) z_{.90}^* = 1.645$$

$$\frac{.04}{1.645} \geq \sqrt{\frac{.21}{n}}$$

$$n(.0243)^2 \geq .21$$

$$n \geq 355.17$$

$$n \geq \frac{.21}{(.0243)^2}$$

It will take an SRS
of $n = 356$ students
to have a margin of
error of 4% with
90% confidence.

$$b) me = 1.645 \sqrt{\frac{(0.5)(.5)}{356}} \\ = .0436$$

58

$$\hat{p} = .2$$

$$z_{.99}^* = 2.576$$

$$.015 \geq 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{(0.2)(.8)}{n}}$$

$$\frac{.015}{2.576} \geq \sqrt{\frac{.16}{n}}$$

$$n \left(\frac{.015}{2.576} \right)^2 \geq .16$$

$$n \geq 4718.77$$

$$n \geq \frac{.16}{\left(\frac{.015}{2.576} \right)^2}$$

Sample size of
 $n = 4719$ needed.

$$b) me = 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{(0.1)(.9)}{4719}} = 0.0112$$

59) Method of inference: 99% confidence interval for
1 sample proportions.

a) Parameter: p = the true proportion of fatally injured
bicyclists aged 15 or older who test
positive for alcohol

Conditions: ① SRS - not stated, no reason to assume not SRS

② Independence - # of all bicyclists
15 yrs or older $\geq 10(1711)$ Condition
Met

③ Normality - $542 \geq 10$ $1169 \geq 10$ Condition Met

Calculations: $\hat{p} = \frac{542}{1711} = .317$ $\hat{q} = .683$ $n = 1711$ $z_{.99}^* = 2.576$

$$.317 \pm 2.576 \sqrt{\frac{(.317)(.683)}{1711}} \quad (.2878, .3457)$$

Conclusion: We are 99% confident the true proportion of
fatally injured bicyclists aged 15 or older who test positive for
alcohol is in the interval 28.8% to 34.6%.

b) No, we do not know the proportion of bicyclists who were
not involved in fatal accidents had alcohol in their systems

$$.04 \geq 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{(.75)(.25)}{n}}$$

$$n \left(\frac{.04}{1.96} \right)^2 \geq .1875$$

$$n \geq \frac{.1875}{\left(\frac{.04}{1.96} \right)^2}$$

$$n \geq 450.19$$

You would need a sample of
 $n = 451$ Americans with at
least 1 Italian grandparent.